



Sierra Nevada Adaptive Management Project Public Participation Research Integration Team Agenda

February 2nd, 2011, 10:00 to 2:00, UC DANR building AND on-line at:

<http://ucanr.org/snamppptit2011> with the audio connection at: 1-866-740-1260, passcode 7548509

Meeting goals are to share the latest findings from the UC SNAMP Public Participation team and to seek input and feedback on project research, evaluation, and outreach.

The desired outcomes are to develop plans for research, outreach and evaluation for the next year.

What (content)	How (process)	Who	Time (minutes)
<i>I. Welcome and overview</i> •Introductions •Background of SNAMP and the Integration Team	Presentation	Kim Rodrigues (facilitator)	10:00 -10:10 15 minutes
<i>II. Forest Service project implementation updates</i> •Update on USFS project status and public participation process review •Update on the Sierra Dialogue and Forest Plan Revision processes	Presentation	Dave Martin - Bass Lake RD; Chris Fischer - American River RD; Mike Chapel – USFS Regional Office	10:10 – 10:30 20 minutes
<i>III. Intro to the SNAMP Public Participation Research</i> •Objectives/Goals/Overview •Adaptive management concepts and terms •Components of PPT •Indicators and evaluation	Presentation	Lynn Huntsinger	10:30 – 10:45 15 minutes
<i>IV. Indicators of inclusion and engagement</i> •Spectrum of PPT outreach •Who is participating? •Who is missing? •How should we increase participation? •Web participation and role	Presentation / discussion	Susie Kocher, Kim Ingram, Anne Lombardo and Maggi Kelly	10:45 – 11:30 45 minutes
<i>V. Indicators of participation outcomes</i> •What are participants getting out of the project? •What are we learning? •What kinds of events are useful and how? •Are we building consensus and/or trust? •What are the most important components and what should we track?	Presentation / discussion	Lynn Huntsinger and Shasta Ferranto	11:30 – 12:15 45 minutes

Lunch break (provided)			12:15 to 12:45
<i>VI. Indicators of adaptation and information use</i> •Are relationships among participants changing? •Is neutrality maintained? •How will SNAMP information be used by the USFS/others and how can we track it? •Can we diagram the SNAMP model? •Can the SNAMP model be extended?	Presentation / discussion	Maggi Kelly and Kim Rodrigues	12:45 – 1:30 45 minutes
<i>VII: Discussion/ Next Steps/ Wrap up/ Evaluation</i> •What are the most important things we can track in the future? •What sort of research should we conduct in the next few years?	Discussion	Kim Rodrigues	1:30– 2:00 30 minutes
ADJOURN			

"The purpose of the SNAMP Integration Team is to engage the public, the University of California, and natural resource agencies in a process of mutual learning as we proceed through the adaptive management cycle. Part of the work is to learn about UC research and data, as well as USFS treatments, so that the IT can evaluate and understand the tradeoffs as research information is integrated within the adaptive management project and into Forest Service management. Ultimately, the goal is to address the part of the adaptive management cycle where scientific information and public input is integrated into future management decisions."