Sierra Nevada Adaptive Management Project  
Fisher Research Integration Team Agenda

July 15th, 2009, 10:00 to 3:00, Fresno, CA, AND on-line at: 
https://breeze.ucdavis.edu/snampfisherit2/ and on the phone at: 1-877-221-1900, Passcode: 8962143

**Purpose:** The goal is to share the latest findings from the UC SNAMP fisher team after almost two years of study and receive input on a preliminary proposal by the team for fisher management indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What (content)</th>
<th>How (process)</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **I. Welcome and overview**  
Introductions  
Background of Sierra Nevada Adaptive Management Project and Integration Team  
Adaptive management concepts and terms | Presentation | Kim Rodrigues (facilitation) | 10:00 -10:30  
30 minutes |
| **II. Description of the SNAMP Fisher Study**  
Objectives/goals  
Study methods/ protocols  
2nd year preliminary results | Presentation / discussion | Dr. Rick Sweitzer, UC Fisher Team | 10:30 – 11:30  
60 minutes |
| **Break** | | | 11:30 - 11:45 |
| **III. Sources of Fisher Mortality**  
Context and Implications | Presentation / discussion | Dr. Rick Sweitzer, UC Fisher Team | 11:45 - 12:15  
30 minutes |
| **Lunch break (provided)** | | | 12:15 to 1:00 |
| **IV. Management Indicators**  
Proposed population parameters that can be measured annually  
How are they measured/ evaluated? | Presentation/ discussion | Drs. Rick Sweitzer & Reg Barrett, UC Fisher Team | 1:00 – 1:15  
15 minutes |
| **V. Discussion of Possible Indicators**  
Discuss each proposed indicator  
- Drop in occupancy  
- Decline in adult female fisher survival  
- Decline in population  
- Others nominated by group  
What are advantages, disadvantages of each? | Discussion | Whole group with Kim as facilitator | 1:15 – 2:45  
90 minutes |
| **VI: Next Steps/ Wrap up/ Evaluation** | Discussion | Kim | 2:45– 3:00  
15 minutes |

"The purpose of the SNAMP Integration Team is to engage the public, the University of California, and natural resource agencies in a process of mutual learning as we proceed through the adaptive management cycle. Part of the work is to learn about UC research and data, as well as USFS treatments, so that the IT can evaluate and understand the tradeoffs as research information is integrated within the adaptive management project and into Forest Service management. Ultimately, the goal is to address the part of the adaptive management cycle where scientific information and public input is integrated into future management decisions."